Dec 1950 Nehru
Memorial
E 4 9 4 9 15/50

FOR MYSORE STATE

BOARD OF REVENUE (EXCISE) DEPARTMENT.

* * *

Subject: PUBLIC SERVANTS - Lakshmana Shet (Sri M) Distillery Officer Hospet - Appointment as Deputy Prohibition Officer - Memorial to Government - Submitted.

Read: 1. G.O. Ms.No.1810, Rev. dated 23-6-50.
2. Memorial from Sri M. Lakshmana Shet dated 16-7-50.

CR.No.28722/Abk/50 XD2, dated 14-8-50.

Station: Triplicane, Madras 5.



From

Sri V. Krishnamurti, B.A.,
Assistant Secretary,
Board of Revenue (Excise),
Chepauk.

To

Sri V.N. Kudva, I.C.S., Member, Board of Revenue, and Commissioner of Commercial Taxes.

. . .

REFERENCE:

The petition cited from Sri M. Lakshmana Shet is submitted to the Government.

2. The Board in this connection invites the attention of the Government to its references CR.No.4350/Abk/49 XB2, (Confidential) dated 4-3-49 and 31-3-50 and to the G.O. cited above.

ASSISTANT SECRETARY.

To The Secretary to Government,
Development Department, Madras 9 (with a petn. in original).

krs

JAMMALAMADUGU

16-7-1950.

To

The Chief Secretary,
Government of Madras,
MADRAS.

Through the proper channel



Sir,

Memorial from Sri.M.Lakshman Shet, Temporary Deputy Prohibition Officer - Jammalamadugu Circle - Cuddapah District.

****

The memorialist submits the following for favour of kind consideration and favourable orders.

The memorialist joined the Excise department in May, 1919 as a clerk and worked as a clerk till July, 1928 when he was promoted as a Sub Inspector. He worked as a Sub Inspector in the West Coast Sub Division till 31-12-1939 and as a Sub Inspector in the Distillery Branch from 1-1-40 till 15-1-44 when he was promoted as an Assistant Inspector from 16-1-44.

The memorialist worked as a Distillery Officer in the Distillery Branch from 16-1-44 to 25-10-44 and fr from 1-2-45 to 26-4-47 when he was posted as Assistant Prohibition Officer in the Madras Prohibition departme which post he joined on 26-4-47 and worked till 26-11-48 when owing to his wife's illness he was obliged to go on three months leave on average pay from 27-11-48 to 26-2-49, but before the expiry of his leave the memorialist was promoted as Deputy Prohibition Officer in Board's Lr.Dis.Notes.No.4/49 dated 14-2-49 and was ordered to join before the expiry of his leave. He joined this post on 24-2-49 A.N. The memorialist was on that date aged 51 years 10 months.

The memorialist after serving continuously for nearly one year and 5 months to his surprise now stands reverted as an Assistant Prohibition Offic as ordered in Board's Notes No.15/50 dated 6-7-50

even though most of his juniors are allowed to continue as deputy Prohibition Officers.

The memorialist learns thath his reversion to the non-gazetted post is due to his over-age.

In this connection the memorialist submits that he has joined the gazetted post before his attaining the age of 52 fixed for promotion to the gazetted post in the Prohibition department and that he has been continuously working in the post till today.

In view of the above facts the memorialist prays that he may be allowedto continue in the existing post by granting him an exemption, if necessary, from the age-bar rules.

For which act of kindness and generosity the memorialist will ever pray

Jammalamadugu
16-7-50.

Thakkalmanchi Subbarayudu
1775
Deputy Prohibition Officer
Memorialist. Jammalamadugu

C.Q.C.O. 1502/50-C
19-7-50.

Cir.Memo C.Q.No 1502/50 D/18-7-50
Submitted through the Dy Commissioner of Prohibition Northern Division for favourable Consideration
16/7/50
Prohibition Offical, Gudlavalleru.

24 JUL 1950
6351

NDis 6351/50
Forwarded to the Asst. Secretary to the Board of Rev (Excise) - Madras
2) The request of the Memorialist needs Sympathetic Consideration

24/7
Dy. Commissioner of Prohibition
Northern Division
26/7

4

Dy dept

Memo no 82949-S/50 dt 17.X.50

Public Servants - Lakshmana Shet Sri M. — Dishllery Officer Hospet - Appointment as Dy Prohn. Officer -

From the Bd of Rev CR. 2872-AGK/50. XDO2 dated 14.8.50

The Bd of Rev is requested to send copies of the following papers for reply

1) Govt Memo no 14064 B/Leg-1 Rs dated 1.4.49
2) Bd's Reg CR. 4350/AGK XDO2 dated 4.3.49
3) Petition of the officer addressed to us for the above purpose.

The Bd of Rev (Exc)

TFD 16.X.50 16.10.50

17/X/50

5

BOARD OF REVENUE(EXCISE)DEPARTMENT

118791

--

Subject:-Public Servants-Lakshmana Shet (Sri M)-Distillery Officer-Hospet-Appointment as Deputy Prohibition Officer-Petition-

Read:-Govt.Memo.No.84949-S/50-1,Dev.,dated 17-10-50.

C.R.No.28722-Abk.50-XB2

Station Triplicane,Madras,5, Dated 20-11-50.

From

Sri V. Krishnamurti,B.A.,
Assistant Secretary,Board of Revenue(Excise)

--

Sri V.N. Kudva,I.C.S.,
Member,Board of Revenue and Commissioner of Commercial Taxes.

--

Reference:-

The Board submits herewith a copy of Board's reference C.R.No.4350-Abk.XB2,dated 4-3-49. A copy of the petition of M. Lakshmana Shet dated 4-2-49 which was submitted as an enclosure to the above reference of the Board, and which is evidently the petition referred to as item 3 in the Government Memorandum cited is also enclosed. The Government Memorandum No.14064/B/49,Rev., dated 1-4-49 does not appear to have been received by the Board.

22x11 50

Assistant Secretary.

To the Secretary to the Government,Development Department.
R.P.N.21/11.

22/11 50.

Copy of Board's ref.C.R.No.4350-Abk/49-XB2(Confdl.),d/4-3-49.

--

Sub:-Public servants-Lakshmana Shet(Sri M)-offg.Assistant Inspector of Excise-appointment as Deputy Prohibition Officer-petition-submitted to the Government-

Read:-From Sri M.Lakshmana Shet petition d/6-2-49 with endt.N.Dis.766/49, d/7-2-49 of the Deputy Commr.Pro.(E)Southern Divn.

--

The petition cited is submitted to the Government.

2.In its reference C.R.No.2460-Abk/49-B2(Confdl.),dated 21-1-49,the Board has submitted a scheme for the selection of Deputy Prohibition Officers from Assistant Inspectors of Excise now working as Assistant Prohibition Officers for Government's approval. As the last selection list of Inspectors, from which officers were promoted as Excise Inspectors and posted as Deputy Prohibition Officers hitherto, had already been exhausted, the necessity for making fresh selections to certain vacancies in the Deputy Prohibition Officers' cadre arose and the Board had to order temporary promotions of Assistant Prohibition Officers to fill the vacancies. In this connection the Board has gone through carefully the personal files of all approved probationers in the category of Assistant Inspectors of Excise. There has been no direct recruitment of Inspectors for a number of years. Most of the officers below 50 with good records have all been promoted. But some of the senior Assistant Inspectors above 50 with good records who are definitely known to be energetic and in no way unsuitable to hold the posts of Deputy Prohibition Officers have been prevented by the age bar from getting into the gazetted rank. Consequently some of the Assistant Inspectors above 50 who are approved probationers have better records than those below 50 who are approved probationers. The application of the 50 years rule will not only cause hardship but also will involve making experienced persons over 50 years with better records to work under much younger and inexperienced officers. The Board has therefore selected for appointment as Deputy Prohibition Officer a few of the suitable senior Assistant Inspectors above 50.

3.The petitioner is one of those selected. In view of his good record he has been given a high ranking and appointed temporarily as Deputy Prohibition Officer,Hospet in Board's Notes No.4/49,dated 14-2-49.

7

4.The Board requests that in deciding the mode of recruitment to category of Deputy Prohibition Officers for which proposals have been submitted by the Board in its reference C.R.No.2460-Abk/49-B2(Confdl.) dated 21-1-49 the present circumstances mentioned above and the administrative advantage of promoting some of the senior Assistant Inspectors may be taken into consideration. If the Board's proposals are approved by the Government the temporary appointment of the petitioner as Deputy Prohibition Officer will be regularised in consultation with the Madras Public Service Commission.

--

True copy/

R.P.N.21/11.

Superintendent. 22/11/50

Copy of the petition dated 4-2-49 from M.Lakshmana Shet.

Memorial of M.Lakshmana Shet, Assistant Prohibition Officer, Mangalore (on leave).

--

The memorialist submits the following for favour of kind consideration and for ~~exd~~ redress of his grievances:

The memorialist has rendered a faithful and honest service of 28 years in the Department, having worked as a clerk for nine years, as a Sub-Inspector for 14 years, as an Assistant Inspector for three and a half years and as an Assistant Prohibition Officer for one and a half years.

After his promotion as an Assistant Inspector on 15-1-1944 the memorialist was retained in the Distillery Branch upto 26-4-47 in spite of several representations from the memorialist that he would be completing his 50th year on 4-4-47 and therefore to give him a chance to complete his one year's preventive training to qualify himself for the post of an Inspector of Excise.

The memorialist having completed his 50th year on 4-4-47 has been kept back without further promotion even though he has passed all the required tests and had been recommended for promotion by his superior officers.

The memorialist finds that the following Assistant Inspectors who were overaged and whose record of service not in any way superior to the petitioners' have been promoted as Deputy Prohibition Officers.

P.T.O.

9

1.Sri K.Achuta Menon
2. " Simham Iyengar
3. " R.Satyanarayana
4. " T.S.Iswara Iyer

The memorialist may be permitted to submit in this connection that Sout Kanara District has not represented in the appointment ~~xxx~~ of Deputy Prohibition Officers ordered in the last two years The memorialist belongs to South Kanara district, Vysia community which is a backward community.

In the circumstances mentioned above and inview of the memorialist's past good record of service, the rule of 50 years may be relaxed in his favour and the memorialist promoted to the rank of a Deputy Prohibition Officer as a special case, so that he may have the satisfaction of getting some enhanced pension on his retirement.

/True copy/

Superintendent. 22/11/50.

R.P.N.21/11.

22/11

Dev. Deptt

Memo. No. 84949 S/50-3 Dt 21.12.50

Rubber Prohn - 26th - Lakshmana Shet (Sri M) Distillery officer, Hospet - Appointment as Dy. Prohibition officer - Petition to the Govt.

Refce: G.O. No. 1810 Rev. Dt 23.6.50
From Sri M. Lakshmana Shet, Petition Dt 16.7.50.
From the Bd of Rev (Exc) Cir No. 28722 Dbk/50XD2 Dt 14.8.50

The orders in G.O. No. 1810 Rev. Dt 23.6.50 will not be reconsidered the petition is rejected.

M
14.12.50.

To
Sri M. Lakshmana Shet through the Bd of Rev (Exc)
Copy to the Bd of Rev (Exc)

Dec. 1950

Memo No. 87949-S/50

Public Servants - Lakshmana Shet
Sri R. - Distillery offices, Prospect -
Appointment as Dy. Prohn. Officer
~~The Petition~~ to the post.

Read: Memo 1810 Rev 2 23.6.50.

From Sri R. Lakshmana
Shet, ~~petition~~ dated
16.7.50
From the Bd of Rev CR
28722 AGM/50-XD 2 dt 14.8.50

P3 Cy (B)
Pp 1-3 Cy

The orders in Memo
1810 Rev dated 23.6.50 will
not be reconsidered. The
petition is rejected.

To the petitioner thro
the Bd of Rev (Ex)
Copy to the Revenue (Ex)

TSO
25.8.50

NOH 28.8.50 Because See the petition of

2/ Sri. M. Lakshmana Shet, Dy Health Officer Hospet for appointment as Dy Prohn officer at pp 2-3 cy. and the Bd's Req at p1 cy. The petitioner's case was considered previously and disposed of vide Govt Order (B) ISR(O)Rs dated 23.6.50 - vide notes and orders in circulation on pp 1-3 ny and the order at p3 cy (B) the Board may now be informed that the orders vide Govt Order at (B) will not be reconsidered. Draft overleaf is for approval

TBD
25.8.50
DC
26.8.50

Petitioner's papers returned to DS
DM (Pro) 4/9 Govt (B)
26.8.50

DevDept

TOAS

Please see the orders at p2 ante.

2 The previous petition of Sri M. Lakshmana Shet was disposed of by Govt Memo No 140674 B1-49-1 Rev dated 1.4.49 It has not been heard in the CRB. Vide requisition slip kept below: Rev. may therefore be requested to furnish the memo for reg and return

5/9/50

Dev No 84969-5
5/9/50

TBV
5/9/50
5/9/50

62952

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

4

To Supdt

New Deptt.

The memo referred to at p3 ante (X) is not readily available. ~~[illegible]~~ Dev may be requested to see whether the memo in question is put up in any of their pending files (It is also not put up in any of the pending files)

8/1.
21/10/50

Qrs Dev Deptt

Dev.

New No 6295-28/50-1
9.10.50

PD

Deptt 102939

Pl. see the remarks of Resident above.

The memo is not put up in any of the pending files here. It is for orders whether

S/66
20/10/50

FIRST ASST. SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
10 OCT 1950
DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

whether copies of petition the B.R's Rep thereon and the Govt Memo no 14064/B/49-1 Rev. dated 1-4-49 may be called for from the Bd of Rev. If so, the draft memo below is for approval

TBR
17/10
17/10/50
17/10/50

Issued as Memo. No. 84949 S/50-1 dated 17-10-1950
From the Board of Revenue (Excise), CR.No. 28722 Abk/50 XB2 dated 20--11--1950.

To A.S.-

Please see the Board's reply at pages 5-9 c.f.

2. The file relates to the petition of Sri M. Lakshmana Shet, Distillery officer, Hospet, (at pages 2-3 c.f) in which he has requested for a reconsideration of the orders passed in G.O. No. 1810, Revenue, dated 23--6--1950, declining to relax the age rule in his favour for appointment as a Deputy Prohibition Officer, regularly. In the memo. at page 4 c.f. the Board was asked to furnish copies of the previous petition of the officer submitted in 1949, the Board's remarks thereon and the orders of the Government in Memorandum No. 14064 B/49-1, Revenue, dated 1--4--1949 (as the original of the Revenue Department Memo. was not available) referred to in the ~~this~~ paper at flag (B)--G.O.No. 1810, Revenue, dated 23--6--1950. The Board has furnished copies of the previous petition and the Board's remarks thereon but stated that the memo referred to

(P.T.O.)

84447
4
15/12

xxx at A on page 5 ante does not appear to have been received by the Board. However it is observed from X on page 1 ante of the G.O.No. 1810, Revenue, dated 23--6--1950 that in Memo. No. 14064 B/49-1 Revenue, dated 1--4--1949 the Board was informed that the Government had no objection to the temporary appointment of Sri Lakshmana Shet, pending issue of orders on its general proposals.

In G.O.No. 1810, Revenue, dated 23-6-1950 the Government declined to relax the age rule for the Madras Excise Service in favour of Sri M.Laksnmana Shet. In this connection it is submitted that in the file relating to the selection of Deputy Prohibition Officers, H.M(Pro) had minuted, among other things as below--

"Age rule also need not be relaxed. I do not see any reason why age rule should be relaxed nor is it necessary to modify any other rule. Everything that can apply to the selection of Excise Inspectors can apply to this selection also".

N.S.R(28-8-49).

It is also submitted that in the case of a similar request for continuance as Deputy Prohibition Officer from one Asst. Prohibition Officer Sri R. Satyanarayana orders are under issue rejecting the request for exemption from the age rule. In the circumstances it is for orders whether the regest for exemption from the age rule in the present case also may be negatived. If so the draft memo submitted below is for approval.

13/12/49

14-12-50

15/12/50